

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. |                             | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/629,989      |                             | 07/30/2003       | Richard W. Adkisson  | 200207724-1             | 9148             |
| 22879           | 7590                        | 05/31/2006       |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|                 |                             | ARD COMPANY      | TRUJILLO, JAMES K    |                         |                  |
| P O BOX 27      | 72400, 3                    | 404 E. HARMONY R | OAD                  |                         |                  |
| INTELLEC        | TUAL P                      | ROPERTY ADMINIS  | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| FORT COL        | FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 |                  |                      |                         |                  |
|                 |                             |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/629,989   | ADKISSON, RICHARD W.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | James K. Trujillo  | 2116   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M  | <u>1arch 2006</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 26-31</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 26-31</u> are subject to restrict  | ion and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | xaminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | _  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>   |  | ratent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2116

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Group A drawn to system for coordinating synchronizer controllers with synchronizing cycle and sequence information associated with said core clock signal relative to said bus clock signal.

Group B drawn to system for coordinating synchronizer controllers synchronizing cycle and sequence information associated with said bus clock signal relative to said core clock signal

The species are independent or distinct because one of the species is synchronizes with a core clock signal relative to a bus clock signal while the other synchronizes with a bus clock signal relative to a core clock signal.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Shreen Danamraj, Reg. No., 41,696 on 19 May 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37) CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James K. Trujillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3677. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 am - 5:30 pm).

Art Unit: 2116

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James K. Trujillo
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2100

Jam K Twills